

Exhibit 7

Omnibus Mao Declaration

**MATERIALS SOUGHT TO
BE FILED UNDER SEAL**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANIBAL RODRIGUEZ, JULIEANNA)
MUNIZ, ELIZA CAMBAY, SAL) Case No.:
CATALDO, EMIR GOENAGA, JULIAN) 3:20-cv-04688
SANTIAGO, HAROLD NYANJOM, KELLIE)
NYANJOM, and SUSAN LYNN HARVEY,)
individually and on behalf of all)
others similarly situated,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
vs.)
)
GOOGLE LLC,)
)
Defendant.)
-----)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

REMOTE PROCEEDINGS OF THE
VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF GREG FAIR
MONDAY, OCTOBER 3, 2022

REPORTED BY NANCY J. MARTIN
CSR. NO. 9504, RMR, RPR
PAGES 1-256

1 So it's very contextual to how we can best
2 display in the context of search in this case.

3 Q. And were you -- under your -- excuse me.
4 With any use -- excuse me.

5 With any user research -- or user experience
6 research teams, were you conducting any surveys that
7 related to this discussion reflected here in
8 Exhibit 87?

9 A. I'm quite certain we did. This is one of the
10 products that our teams launched, and we would have,
11 just because it's the right thing to do and also
12 because it helps us build a better product, would have
13 had research associated with any of these product
14 launches.

15 Q. Would any of that research have had any
16 project names or code names or anything that would
17 help better identify it? So, for example, if Sam
18 Heft-Luthy came to you and said, "Hey, I'm looking at
19 this," and he said, "Oh, there might be some helpful
20 information in this other study we did," or "this
21 other review that we did, you might look here," how
22 would you help direct another Googler to that body of
23 research?

24 A. There are a number of different bodies of
25 research that this particular conversation might be

1 referencing. I can go back to where we started our
2 conversation today, around the data controls and the
3 Narnia project, because that's the project that
4 instantiated these settings as a collection of
5 settings to be presented together rather than purely
6 in the products. So that's a body of work that's
7 pretty significant.

8 In addition, the project that was being led
9 here, and it's, I believe, referenced a few times,
10 it's called -- its reference is PA, privacy advisor,
11 and that was the shorthand for the idea that you would
12 have this kind of privacy-focused module in product to
13 help a user in context and understand the privacy
14 settings that are specifically related to their
15 product experience.

16 Q. Okay. So I guess were there any project
17 names or code names that you can recall for studies of
18 user expectations with WAA?

19 A. I don't remember a project name that was
20 specific to studies of user expectations to WAA.

21 Q. Do you remember any project names or code
22 names that would have studied any kind of expectations
23 with WAA?

24 A. Sure. You know, broadly in the privacy
25 space, we launched a pretty wide-reaching privacy

1 research set of studies that are focused on privacy
2 and end users that we refer to as Pinecone and that
3 was focused on research probably. And that's the --
4 my primary engagement in user research because it sat,
5 you know, closer to my purview rather than being run
6 by another team.

7 Q. Okay. And then who is Vlad Adzic, Adzic?

8 A. Vlad was a senior engineer on the search team
9 that ran Footprints. Senior engineer was not his
10 official title, but he's one of the more senior
11 engineers on the team.

12 Q. And did you have any conversations with
13 Mr. Adzic about user perceptions of WAA?

14 A. Everybody who worked on it, especially on the
15 Footprints team, we would have walked through all
16 those pieces. As a matter of fact, Vlad likely showed
17 up at some of our research studies as well. We try to
18 invite folks from across the company that are working
19 on relative products where we could to spread the
20 information as widely as we can to help encourage a
21 sense of user empathy.

22 Q. And do you recall the substance of any
23 conversations that you would have had with Mr. Adzic
24 about user perceptions of WAA?

25 A. Not the specifics. Vlad was a fun guy to

1 talk to because he was smart and argumentative. So he
2 liked to take positions to walk through an argument to
3 understand stuff. So we would have talked about
4 anything that came up.

5 Q. And so if you wanted to study a user
6 expectation or what a user thinks about WAA, were
7 there any data points that you might start with or
8 that you did start with during your time at Google?

9 A. It was a while ago. Vlad relied on my
10 research teams to figure out some best approaches. I
11 also had my own personal experience, and I would, you
12 know, encourage and cajole, like faster, I want it
13 now. I want faster. So -- to make sure we were doing
14 as much as we could because I cared, but I didn't have
15 any specific agenda or methods.

16 That was -- you know, I got to say, "I want
17 to understand this," and our research teams would say,
18 "Okay. Here's some ways we can do that," and they
19 would run the studies and they would figure out the
20 time frames and the context in which we could study
21 it.

22 Q. If you were interested in user expectations
23 and studying them with regard to WAA, would there be a
24 split of users who enabled and disabled WAA?

25 A. I think there are any number of different

1 really long word, to shorten it you just put the
2 number of letters that you're omitting in the middle.
3 So like I think internationalization was IATN,
4 something to that effect.

5 So P13N would have been just short for
6 personalization so you didn't have to type out a big
7 word like personalization, and there's a lot of
8 examples of that just in tech E-mail and shorthand
9 speak.

10 Q. That's interesting. Again, my mom is a
11 retired stenographer. So I'm sure --

12 A. She's a pro. If we could adapt those, we'd
13 probably be a lot more efficient.

14 (Deposition Exhibit 89 was marked for
15 identification.)

16 MR. MCGEE: I've marked what's been marked as
17 Exhibit 89. That's Bates labeled GOOG-RDGZ-00127984.
18 And for this document, I'm really focusing on Page 3,
19 and it's an E-mail from Googler on December 6, 2019 at
20 2:19 a.m.

21 And there's a portion here where he states,
22 "We have seen that very little users understand the
23 exact impact of opting into 'ads p13n,'" which I
24 think, based on your testimony, would mean ads
25 personalization.

1 And really what I would like to ask is
2 whether you've had discussions with Arne de Booi
3 about these studies and what the results of those
4 studies were.

5 A. I'm sorry. At the beginning of this, did you
6 say this was a Google user?

7 Q. No, a Googler.

8 A. Excuse me. Okay. So there was a Googler
9 that you were talking about, and it was Arne de
10 Booi's E-mail of -- this is a long one, of Friday,
11 December 6, 2019 at 2:19 a.m.?

12 Q. Correct.

13 A. Okay. And your question was regarding do I
14 know who he is?

15 Q. Well, I guess first question is do you know
16 who -- I think you do based on the way you pronounced
17 his name.

18 A. Uh-huh. Yes.

19 Q. In the second sentence of his E-mail, "In
20 general, we have seen that very little users
21 understand the exact impact of opting into 'Ads'"
22 personalization "- where these ads will be
23 'personalized' (on Google, off Google, facebook!), how
24 it affects data collection (does not, does, 1P, 3P)
25 and how it affects the amount of ads (more, less, the

1 same)."

2 And really what I'm getting at here is were
3 you part of these studies that this individual is
4 referencing? Did you ever see them or did you have
5 any involvement?

6 A. So Arne de Booiij was one of my research
7 leads, and for the bulk of the time throughout the
8 existence of the program the lead researcher for
9 Pinecone. So this is privacy-focused research, much
10 of it related directly to my work, and it was a way of
11 getting user insights as well as evangelizing some of
12 the user stories around privacy to the rest of the
13 companies who would often bring folks in from other
14 teams that didn't work solely on privacy.

15 So much of Arne's research I was involved in
16 or directly participated in or sponsored or someone on
17 my team would have sponsored and worked on.

18 Q. Okay. And with regards to the ads
19 personalization and the numerous criteria that Arne de
20 Booiij lists here, were those reflected in Pinecone
21 studies or were they in different studies that may
22 have gone by a different name?

23 A. In general, I would say that Arne's studies
24 were mostly in the Pinecone umbrella, but, you know,
25 we might partner with other teams. It could be ads

1 team, with a search team, with a maps team on specific
2 studies that we'd like them to run because it's more
3 appropriate for them to run, or maybe they have
4 resources with the expertise.

5 Q. So the Pinecone studies were under your
6 team's purview; correct?

7 A. They were in the privacy and data protection
8 office group, yeah. The user research didn't report
9 in to project management. So it was technically
10 separate, and we were all part of the same overall
11 group, yes.

12 Q. Do you know what the equivalent name of
13 Pinecone would be for the studies performed by search?

14 A. I don't know of any or if they would have
15 any.

16 Q. So if search did a user expectation or a user
17 evaluation survey, you don't know whether that would
18 have a name like Pinecone or what that name is?

19 A. I would be surprised if they did because,
20 remember, we were a very -- we're a vertical focused
21 group. Like our research would typically focus on a
22 specific area, and we'd create a brand around it to
23 kind of bring in a bunch of folks from everywhere,
24 conducting research. It's just research that they do.

25 Q. Okay. Do you recall -- or as you sit here,